

## SELECTION OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION & QUALITY CONTROL CONSULTANT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ISBT, BUS TERMINAL AT MAUZA PAHARI PATNA

BUIDCo/SIU-8/Yo-72/17-70 Dated 24-11-2017

### Pre Bid Query Reply

S No.	EOI Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of EOI Requiring Clarification(s)	Points of clarification	Reply
<b>GREEN Design and Engineering Services (P) Ltd.</b>				
1.	Section- 2.2.2/Page-10	The bidder must have an average annual turnover of at least Rs.5 Crore during last three years (2014-15,2015-16 & 2016-17)	The bidder must have an average annual turnover of at least Rs.3 Crore during last three years (2014-15,2015-16 & 2016-17).	The bidder must have achieved in any one year a minimum annual turnover of at least 5 Crore during last three years. The turnover will be indexed at the rate of 8% for a year.
<b>DDF Consultants Pvt. Ltd.</b>				
1.	P-21 Clause no.3.1.1 P-22 Clause no.3.1.4	In the first stage, the Technical Proposal will be evaluated on the basis of Applicants experience, its understanding of TOR, proposed methodology and work plan, and the experience of Key Personnel. Only those Applicants whose Technical Proposal score 70 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration, and shall be ranked from highest to the lowest on the basis of their technical score (ST). Only those Applicants who's Technical Proposal score 80 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration.	Please clarify minimum marks to qualify.	It is mandatory for the firm to secure minimum 80% marks during technical proposed evaluation. Proposal securing less than 80% marks will be considered as Non Responsive.

2.	P-10 SI no.2  P-22 Clause no. 3.1.4 (b)	<p>The Applicant should have successfully completed at least one similar projects as CS&amp;QC projects value not <b>less than Rs. 100 Crore from past 5 years.</b></p> <p><b>Note: Similar Projects are defined as large infrastructure Projects like, Bus Terminals, Institutional Projects, and Commercial Building/housing. Minimum Estimated Project Cost or Actual Project Cost should be Rs. 50 Cr. or more</b></p>			Kindly clarify whether the Project cost should be 100 crores or 50 crores	The applicant should have successfully completed at least one similar project as CSQC project value not less than Rs. 100 Crore from past 5 years.												
3.	P-27	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="526 552 647 639">Stage</th> <th data-bbox="647 552 898 639">Description</th> <th data-bbox="898 552 1162 639">Percentage of Total Payments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="526 639 647 1010">Stage I</td> <td data-bbox="647 639 898 1010">Monthly Retainer ship fee</td> <td data-bbox="898 639 1162 1010">85% of total consultancy fees distributed over 24 months. Payment will be given on the basis of percentage of work completed and invoice raised by Contractor.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="526 1010 647 1233">Stage II</td> <td data-bbox="647 1010 898 1233"><b>On issuance of Completion Certificate and Submission of completion report</b></td> <td data-bbox="898 1010 1162 1233"><b>15% of total consultancy fees for 24 months.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="526 1233 647 1289"></td> <td data-bbox="647 1233 898 1289"><b>Total</b></td> <td data-bbox="898 1233 1162 1289"><b>100%</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Stage	Description	Percentage of Total Payments	Stage I	Monthly Retainer ship fee	85% of total consultancy fees distributed over 24 months. Payment will be given on the basis of percentage of work completed and invoice raised by Contractor.	Stage II	<b>On issuance of Completion Certificate and Submission of completion report</b>	<b>15% of total consultancy fees for 24 months.</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>			We request you to kindly decrease the Stage –II percentage from 15 % to 5 %.	Bid Condition Prevails
Stage	Description	Percentage of Total Payments																
Stage I	Monthly Retainer ship fee	85% of total consultancy fees distributed over 24 months. Payment will be given on the basis of percentage of work completed and invoice raised by Contractor.																
Stage II	<b>On issuance of Completion Certificate and Submission of completion report</b>	<b>15% of total consultancy fees for 24 months.</b>																
	<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>																
4.	P-7	Proposal due date is 18.12.2017			You are requested to kindly extend the date by 2 weeks after the uploading of Pre-bid points	Bid Condition Prevails												

**Rudrabhishek Enterprises Pvt. Ltd.**

<p>1.</p>	<p><b>3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION</b></p> <p>Clause 3.1.3 Evaluation of Technical Proposal</p> <p>Sl. No. 01 Financial Capacity</p> <p>Page no. 21</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Criteria</th> <th>Maximum Marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>1.0</b></td> <td><b>Financial Capacity</b></td> <td rowspan="4"><b>15</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>From 5 Crores – 7 Crores : 10 Marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>From 7 Crores – 10 Crores : 12 Marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iii)</td> <td>More than 10 Crores : 15 Marks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Criteria	Maximum Marks	<b>1.0</b>	<b>Financial Capacity</b>	<b>15</b>	(i)	From 5 Crores – 7 Crores : 10 Marks	(ii)	From 7 Crores – 10 Crores : 12 Marks	(iii)	More than 10 Crores : 15 Marks	<p>Considering the quantum of works involved in this project, our request is to modify the turnover criteria for better complete bidding as;</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Criteria</th> <th>Maximum Marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>1.0</b></td> <td><b>Financial Capacity</b></td> <td rowspan="4"><b>15</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>From 20 Crores – 25 Crores : 10 Marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>From 25 Crores – 30 Crores : 12 Marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iii)</td> <td>More than 30 Crores : 15 Marks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Criteria	Maximum Marks	<b>1.0</b>	<b>Financial Capacity</b>	<b>15</b>	(i)	From 20 Crores – 25 Crores : 10 Marks	(ii)	From 25 Crores – 30 Crores : 12 Marks	(iii)	More than 30 Crores : 15 Marks	<p>Bid Condition Prevails</p>																
Sl. No.	Criteria	Maximum Marks																																										
<b>1.0</b>	<b>Financial Capacity</b>	<b>15</b>																																										
(i)	From 5 Crores – 7 Crores : 10 Marks																																											
(ii)	From 7 Crores – 10 Crores : 12 Marks																																											
(iii)	More than 10 Crores : 15 Marks																																											
Sl. No.	Criteria	Maximum Marks																																										
<b>1.0</b>	<b>Financial Capacity</b>	<b>15</b>																																										
(i)	From 20 Crores – 25 Crores : 10 Marks																																											
(ii)	From 25 Crores – 30 Crores : 12 Marks																																											
(iii)	More than 30 Crores : 15 Marks																																											
<p>2.</p>	<p><b>3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION</b></p> <p>Clause 3.1.3 Evaluation of Technical Proposal</p> <p>Sl. No. 03 Key Personnel</p> <p>Page no. 21</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl No.</th> <th>Key Personnel</th> <th>Maximum Marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Team Leader</td> <td rowspan="6"><b>45</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Project Engineer (Civil)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iii)</td> <td>Quantity Surveyor / Billing Engineer</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iv)</td> <td>Structural Engineer</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(v)</td> <td>MEP Engineer</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(vi)</td> <td>Electrical Engineer</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl No.	Key Personnel	Maximum Marks	(i)	Team Leader	<b>45</b>	(ii)	Project Engineer (Civil)	(iii)	Quantity Surveyor / Billing Engineer	(iv)	Structural Engineer	(v)	MEP Engineer	(vi)	Electrical Engineer	<p>Key professional staff has the major role in such type of consultancy services projects for the success of the project therefore at least <b>50 Marks</b> should be provided to the Team of Professionals and distribute the Marks for each position as:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl No.</th> <th>Key Personnel</th> <th>Maximum Marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Team Leader</td> <td><b>15</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Project Engineer (Civil)</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iii)</td> <td>Quantity Surveyor / Billing Engineer</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iv)</td> <td>Structural Engineer</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(v)</td> <td>MEP Engineer</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(vi)</td> <td>Electrical Engineer</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>50</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl No.	Key Personnel	Maximum Marks	(i)	Team Leader	<b>15</b>	(ii)	Project Engineer (Civil)	8	(iii)	Quantity Surveyor / Billing Engineer	8	(iv)	Structural Engineer	8	(v)	MEP Engineer	6	(vi)	Electrical Engineer	5		<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	<p>Bid Condition Prevails</p>
Sl No.	Key Personnel	Maximum Marks																																										
(i)	Team Leader	<b>45</b>																																										
(ii)	Project Engineer (Civil)																																											
(iii)	Quantity Surveyor / Billing Engineer																																											
(iv)	Structural Engineer																																											
(v)	MEP Engineer																																											
(vi)	Electrical Engineer																																											
Sl No.	Key Personnel	Maximum Marks																																										
(i)	Team Leader	<b>15</b>																																										
(ii)	Project Engineer (Civil)	8																																										
(iii)	Quantity Surveyor / Billing Engineer	8																																										
(iv)	Structural Engineer	8																																										
(v)	MEP Engineer	6																																										
(vi)	Electrical Engineer	5																																										
	<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>																																										

3.	<b>3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION</b> Clause 3.1.3 Evaluation of Technical Proposal Sl. No. 04 Page no. 21	Presentation on Approach and Methodology & Work Plan for carrying out detailed construction supervision activities	<b>15</b>	<b>For Presentation on Approach and Methodology &amp; Work Plan, Generally 10% Marks will be used for the evaluation in such kind of PMC Projects.</b> Presentation on Approach and Methodology & Work Plan for carrying out detailed construction supervision activities	<b>10</b>  Bid Condition Prevails
4.	<b>3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION</b> 3.2 Evaluation and Selection Page no. 22	3.2.1 Selection would be based on least cost method		<b>You are requested to kindly modify/change this criteria 80 Technical and 20 financial for better quality and competitive bidding.</b>	Bid Condition Prevails
5.	Page no. 1 of NIT and Page no. 6 of RFP	It is mentioned in NIT on Page no. 1, Sl. no. 1: Bid Processing Fees (Beltron) (In Rs.): 5900/- Bid Document Cost (In Rs.): 15,000/- While in RFP Document on Page no. 6: Clause no. 1.4.2; The Consultancy firms will also be required to pay the Non-refundable fee (Cost of RFP Documents) of Rs. 10,000.00 (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) by way of a Demand Draft issued by one of the Nationalized / Scheduled Banks in India in favour of “Managing Director, Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation’ payable at Patna, at the time of submission of the proposal.	There is discrepancy in Bid Document Cost amount, weather it is 15,000/- or 10,000/-.  <b>Kindly confirm.</b>	1. Bid Processing Fee (Beltron) Rs. 5900/-  2. Bid Document Cost is Rs. 15,000/-	

6.	NIT, Point no. 4 & 5, Page no. 1	Last Date and Time for Receipt (upload) of bids: Date 15/12/2017 up to 04:00 PM  Last Date and Time for Submission of hard copy of bid: Date 18/12/2017 upto 03:00 PM	As the project involves lot of technical & commercial inputs and to prepare a quality & competitive bid. We request you to kindly extend the bid submission date by at least <b>03 weeks</b> after pre-bid replies.	Refer Corrigendum - 1
----	-------------------------------------	---	--	-----------------------

**TUV SUD South Asia Pvt. Ltd.**

1.	NIT		Please confirm whether the EMD can be submitted in the form of “Demand Draft” or “Bank Gurantee” both?	Bid Condition Prevails
2.	NIT		We understand that the last date of hardcopy bid submission is 20.12.2017 till 3 pm. Please confirm.	Refer Corrigendum -1
3.	Instruction To Applicants, Pg.8		We understand that project construction period is 24 months and DLP is 6 months.  All key/ non key experts shall be deployed full time during construction period of 24 months.  However, please advise the deployment arrangement during DLP whether full time or intermitten?	Bid Condition Prevails
4.	Instruction To Applicants, Sl. No. 7, Pg.8		Please amend the educational qualification for “Office Manager cum Accountant” from Post Graduate” to “ <i>Graduate</i> ”.	Bid Condition Prevails
5.	TOR, Pg. 29		We understand that the Consultant is not required to carry out any material tests. In such case, no expenses have been considered by the Consultant.	Bid Condition Prevails
6.	FINANCIAL PROPOSAL, Pg. 45		We understand that the financial quote shall be exclusive of GST@18%. Please confirm. In addition, please replace Service Tax with GST.	Govt. Rule will be applicable.

7.	TOR, CLAUSE 9, Pg. 36		We understand that the project office at Client's premises shall be provided to the Consultant free of cost. Please confirm. However, the Consultant shall establish site office on his own. Please confirm.	Bid Condition Prevails
8.	General		We understand that after the receipt of minutes of Pre Bid meeting, a suitable time gap of at least 15 working days shall be provided for submission. Please advise.	Bid Condition Prevails

**Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.**

1.	Clause no. 2.2.2, Sl. No.2 Page no.: 10	The Applicant should have successfully completed at least one similar projects as CS&QC Projects value not less than Rs. 30 Cr from past 5 years.  Note: Similar Projects are defined as large infrastructure Projects like, Bus Terminals, Institutional Projects, and Commercial Building housing	(i) We understand that even if a project was started before 2012 but has been completed within last 5 years it will be eligible for evaluation. Kindly clarify the same.  (ii) Since infrastructure large Projects have a long execution and design period we request you to kindly consider projects from past 7 years instead of past 5 years.	The applicant should have successfully completed at least one similar projects as CSQC project value not less than Rs. 100 Crore from past 5 years.
2.	3. Criteria for Evaluation Clause no.  3.1.1. & Clause no. 3.1.3  Page no. 21	3.1.1. In the first stage, the Technical Proposal will be evaluated on the basis of Applicants experience, its understanding of TOR, proposed methodology and work plan, and the experience of Key Personnel. Only those Applicants whose <i>Technical Proposal score 70 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify (or further consideration, and shall be ranked from highest to the lowest on the basis of their technical score CST).</i> 3.1.3 It is mandatory for the firm to <i>secure minimum 80% marks during technical proposal evaluation. Proposals securing less</i>	Both the statements seems contradicting, please clarify whether the minimum qualifying marks will be 70% or 80%.	It is mandatory for the firm to secure minimum 80% marks during technical proposed evaluation. Proposal securing less than 80% marks will be considered as Non Responsive.

		<i>than 80% marks will be Considered as non-Responsive</i>		
3.	Eligible Projects Clause no. 3.1.4  <i>Page no. 22</i>	a) Project Management Consultancy/ Independent Engineer/Construction Supervision Consultancy/ Design or Proof Checking Consultancy of infrastructure projects in the following sectors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Large Infrastructure Projects like Bus Terminals Institutional Projects &amp; Commercial Building/Housing Projects.</li></ul>	We request you to consider Construction Supervision and PMC of large bridge and expressway projects under the term "Large Infrastructure Projects" as well. Since the supervision methodology involved is similar and relevant.	Bid Condition Prevails
4.	Evaluation of Technical Proposal  Sl. No. 02 <i>Page no. 21</i>	Experience in Providing Construction Supervision/ Project Management Consultancy Services. (i) 60% marks for minimum eligibility. (ii) 100% marks for twice the minimum eligibility Criteria or more. (iii) in between (i) & (ii) on pro rata basis.	We understand from clause 2.2.2, page no. 10, that the minimum no. of project required is one. Hence accordingly for two projects we get 100% marks. Please clarify pro rata basis marking, will the authority be giving partial marks for in Progress Projects.	Bid Condition Prevails
5.	Clause 2.1.6  <i>Page no. 9</i>	No JV is allowed.	For increasing the extent of participation by eligible bidders we request you to kindly allow JV for the assignment.	Bid Condition Prevails
<b>Aqua Pumps Infra Ventures Limited</b>				
1.	Clause 2.1.6 <i>Page no. 9</i>	JV Not Allwed	Please allow participation in the form of Joint Venture Also	Bid Condition Prevails
<b>SGS</b>				
1.			Please get the last date of Submission (both online and hard copy) extended by 01 week (23.12.2017/26.12.2017)	Refer Corrigendum -1
2.			It is mentioned in the NIT document that the estimated cost is Rs. 2.75 crore. But	Bid Condition Prevails

			the eligibility Criteria demands of completion of a similar project of a value more than Rs. 100 crore. Please get this clarified.	
3.			Whether a JV will be permitted.	Bid Condition Prevails
4.			Does the scope include only “Construction Supervision and Quality Control” or does it include “Design/DPR Preparation too”	Bid Condition Prevails
<b>EDMAC Engineering Consultant</b>				
1.	Document Cost	As per BUIDCo letter no. BUIDCo/SIU-8/Yo-72/17-66 Dated 20-11-2017 & page no. 6 of tender document, Document cost is Rs. 10,000; As per BUIDCo letter no. BUIDCo/SIU-8/Yo-72/17-70 Dated 24-11-2017 Document cost is Rs. 15,000.	Kindly confirm the cost for which Demand Draft is to be prepared.	1. Bid Processing Fee (Beltron) Rs. 5900/- 2. Bid Document Cost is Rs. 15,000/-
2.	Bid Processing fee, Document Cost & EMD	We understand that Bid Processing fee is to be submitted online while Document Cost & EMD are to be submitted in form of Demand Draft of respective amounts.	Kindly confirm	Bid Condition Prevails
3.	Cost of Project	As per BUIDCo letter no. BUIDCo/SIU-8/Yo-72/17-70 Dated 24-11-2017 cost of work is 2.75 crore while cost mentioned on page no.6 of tender document, project cost is Rs. 275.21 Crore;	Kindly confirm	Bid Condition Prevails. Estimated cost for construction is Rs. 275.21 Crore.
4.	Cost of Eligible projects	On page 10 of tender document eligible project value shall not be less than Rs. 100 Crores while, one page 22 of tender document same is mentioned as Rs. 50 Crores.	Kindly Confirm the cost of eligible project which will be considered for evaluation.	The applicant should have successfully completed at least one similar project as CSQC project value not less than Rs. 100 Crore from past 5 years.
5.			The nos. of key personnel (Technical) to be deployed for site is 06 Nos as per RFP. We suggest additional 02 Nos of Field Engineer (Civil) & 01 Nos. of field	Bid Condition Prevails



			Engineer (Mechanical/Electrical/Plumbing) with experience of at least 02 years. This can be adjusted in place of Structural Engineer & MEP Engineer. Also fortnightly Visit by an Architect may be added. This will help in effective Supervision & Quality Control of Construction activity.	
6.			Substitution of Technical Staff upto 03 nos should also be considered if Experience of same qualification & nos of Years is submitted by Consultant for replacement. This can arise due to unavoidable reason.	Bid Condition Prevails
7.			For the Financial in point no 2.12.2 (ii) on page 15 it is mentioned Service Tax should be paid extra, it should be replaced with GST or as applicable.	Govt. Rule will be applicable.
8.			The submission date may be extended for at least 10 days so that arrangement of Key personnel is completed before bidding.	Refer Corrigendum -1