Pre-Bid Query				
Sr. No.	Tender Clause No. & Page No.	Present Clause	Our Request To Clarify.	Reply
1.	Appendix-I to Data Sheet I. Qualification of proposer Sub Clause B on Page no. 21 of RFP	 B. Experience in Similar Geographical Areas (20 points) Criteria: Extent of experience in States on Genetics plain is similar geographical region taking in consideration elements such as population size, economic development stage and possibly other social factors. Factors to consider: Higher scores will be given to a firm with better regional experiences. 	We request you to also consider experience in urban area instead of experience in state on genetics plain in similar geographic region as it seems irrelevant because the area of said project is equally similar to other urban areas and such experience shall have equal weightage. And also revise the marking allocation given on page 23.	Buidco shall consider experience in urban area instead of experience in state on genetics plain in similar geographic region as it seems irrelevant because the area of said project is equally similar to other urban areas and such experience shall have equal weightage. Marking allocation will be as per bid condition.
2.	Clause No. IV Duration of key personnel - Appendix-I to Data Sheet on page 23 of RFP	Sr. No. 7 position of Social and Institutional Expert	Given position of institutional expert is not required due to well established municipal corporation limits. We also request you to remove the said position & revise the marking allocation for the same accordingly.	Bid Condition Prevails/
3.	Clause No. IV Duration of key personnel - Appendix-I to Data Sheet on page 23 of RFP	Sr. No. 8 position of Environmental Engineer–cum–EIA Expert	The position seems to be irrelevant and should be removed considering fact that environmental permission must have taken previously. We also request you to remove the said position & revise the marking allocation for the same accordingly.	Bid Condition Prevails
4.	Appendix-II to Data Sheet Qualification And Experience Of Team Leader & Other Key Professionals on page 24 of RFP	A. Team Leader/ Chief Consultant	There is a contradiction in maximum mark allocated for Team Leader. On page 24 it is mentioned as 100 while on page no. 22 the maximum mark for Team Leader is 150. Also project experience weightage in A1 and A2 on page 24 is duplication. We request you to rectify the same and inform us the correct marks for the said position along with it's bifurcation.	Maximum Mark for Team leader is 150 Marks.
5.	Section 5: Terms of Reference (TOR) Clause G. Approvals/ permissions on Page 48 of	G. Approvals/ permissions	We will assist in applying & obtaining the required Approval / Permissions as per the Scope of Work / TOR but cost for the application or necessary fees will	Bid Condition Prevails / Buidco will assist as per requirement.

	RFP.		have to be bear by BUIDCo.	
6.	Clause 6. Land Requirement on Page 49 of RFP.	The Consultant shall obtain No Objection Certificate from Land belonging ULB before finalizing the feasibility report. BUIDCo will facilitate in obtaining the NOC from ULB if required. No payment for Preparation of DPR will be entertained if NOC not obtain for elected Location.	Issuing NOC within ULB limit is an administrative issue between ULB and BUIDCO enabling management of water and traffic conjunctions. Hence making consultants responsible for NOC and penalize for payment of DPR is very harsh condition as non-issuance of NOC is beyond consultant's control. NOC is to be obtained during pre-feasibility stage and shall be applied by BUIDCO and now consultant shall assist BUIDCO and principal approval shall be taken up by BUIDCO. Payment shall be released on completion of assistance work to BUIDCO and on Submission of Application for NOC.	Bid Condition Prevails / Buidco will assist in obtainting the same as per requirement.
7.	Appendix-II to Data Sheet Detailed marking scheme for technical evaluation Clause No 1 A) Experience in Projects in Eligible Sectors - (i) Storm Water Drainage System and Design of Box Drain used as a road. (ii)Sewerage System, Sub point (vii) of Evaluation Criterion Page no. 23 of RFP	 Evaluation Criterion (i) Consultant should have done minimum one project in each Eligible Sector. (ii) Project not falling in any of the above explicit category shall be treated based on best proximity to above categories. Master planning will be considered akin to Feasibility report. (iii) Maximum of 100 marks will be awarded in each eligible sector (Sewerage System and Storm Water Drainage system) (iv) Threshold Project Cost: Only those projects will be considered which have Project Cost more than Rs 10 Crore. 75% marks will be given for project cost of more than or equal to 10 crore but less than Rs 50 Crore. Project of more than Rs 50 crore will get 100% marks. (v) A project covering two eligible sectors with project cost of more than Rs 10 Crore in each sector will be counted in both sectors. (vi) Projects executed as associate consultant will be given maximum of 75% marks 	Application for NOC. (vii) We understand 25% marks will be attributed to Open Sewerage System, Please confirm	agree

		marks will be attributed to cover sewerage system and maximum 25% marks will be attributed to Sewage system.		
8.	FORM FIN-2: GRAND SUMMARY OF COST Page no.40 And	OWN MH-2: Ensure Suscessor on Cont Proje-11 Tile: PREFARATION OF OPR FOC BREC DRAM INTEVIORS FOR ALIGUMENTATION OF DRAMAGE SYSTEM OF SALEMPUR PRICE (ANAPUNG UPTO KRANLANAFUR RUKARA ALDIG WITH ITS MAIN UNK DRAMSIN GAY, BHAR INDIA. Status Description of Services Consultance y Fee (in DR) In Figures Gonzultance to the option of Services Consultance y Fee (in DR) In Figures In Words (A) Consultancy fee for providing Exclusion for Preparation of Detailed Froject: Report for Finant Work [Insert name of work] In Words 1. Work [Insert name of work] 2. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 3. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name of work] 1. Work [Insert name	We understand that this is a single work and only one financial proposal for the said project is required to be submitted by the bidders. Please confirm.	agree
9.	Section 5: Terms of Reference (TOR) Clause No. 11:Expertise and Inputs Sub Clause 11.1, Table 4 Qualification of Personnel 'A' Page No. 55 and 56	Team Leader cum Storm water drainage Expert: Graduate Civil Engineer/ preferably post graduate Civil/ structural Engineer/Strom Water Drainage Project with at least 20 years' experience including at least 15 years' experience in the related field including detailed design, engineering & preparation of detailed project reports for (i) Urban Sanitation including cover drainage and sewerage (ii) General civil works sectors. Should have specific experience of two large integrated multi-disciplinary urban infrastructure projects costing more than Rs 200 Cr, in capacity of Team Leader/ Dy. Team Leader.	On page 23 of RFP; it is mentioned that organisations having experience of project cost more than 50 Crore will get 100% marks. On page 22 clause IV sub clause (iv) it is mentioned that 10% weightage is given to team leader incase employed by current employer on a regular/permanent full-time basis continuously for the last 12 months. Looking at the above requirement; desired required for team leader for working on urban infrastructure project costing more than 200 Cr. is very high. We therefore request that the same may be revised as under: "(ii) General civil works sectors. Should have specific experience of two large	Bid Condition Prevails

10.	Section 2- Instructions to Consultants Bid Security (Earnest Money Deposit) Clause 1.13, a. page No.11	1.13 Bid Security (Earnest Money Deposit) a. The Bid Security of amount indicated in Data Sheet in favour of "Managing Director, Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited" payable at Patna shall be in the form of Account Payee Demand Draft or Bank Guarantee from any of the scheduled commercial bank or nationalized bank having its branch in Patna. In case of Demand Draft it shall be payable at Patna and in case of Bank Guarantee it should be in an acceptable form provided in Appendix III to Data Sheet. The bid security is to remain valid for a period of forty-five days beyond the final bid validity period. The Employer shall reject any bid not accompanied by appropriate bid security, as non-responsive.	integrated multi-disciplinary urban infrastructure projects costing more than Rs 50 Cr, in capacity of Team Leader/ Dy. Team Leader." We request you to allow Account Payee Demand Draft / Bank Guarantee / FDR (from Nationalised Bank duly pledged in favour of "Managing Director, Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited") for submission of EMD	Agree, but payable in Patna.
11.	****	****	We kindly request you to provide bidders minimum 15 day time for bid submission from the date of publishing your response to the queries to enable out station bidders to submit the bid for inviting healthy competition amongst bidders.	Bid Condition Prevails